

From: [Jeff Catanzarita](#)
To: [Rebecca Ofrane](#)
Cc: [Arlene Anderson](#); [Denise Zeno](#); michael.w.cartwright@lmco.com; [Donna Getty](#)
Subject: Re: Cabo Rojo VI data report questions
Date: 04/17/2012 03:38 PM

Hi

ok, so what we can do is make a Statistical Table which will note for **each** COC # of samples, #of NDs, frequency of detections, Min, Max, Ave, Med of detections.....we'll do a separate table for SS, IA and Ambient coc's

This is the best I can do without definite #s.....but you'll have all the data in analytical reports and scribe; plus we'll have a map of SS PCE and TCE to determine a potential plume characteristics and a location map as well

jeff

▼ Rebecca Ofrane---04/17/2012 11:30:29 AM---That statement may not be correct depending on which criteria we use. More importantly, I believe t

From: Rebecca Ofrane/R2/USEPA/US
To: Jeff Catanzarita/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Arlene Anderson/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Denise Zeno/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, michael.w.cartwright@lmco.com
Date: 04/17/2012 11:30 AM
Subject: Cabo Rojo VI data report questions

That statement may not be correct depending on which criteria we use. More importantly, I believe the trip report doesn't typically include those kinds of conclusions. It may be better to just report the data, so ATSDR and EPA can develop conclusions as necessary.

Also, you mention 3 events, I thought we did 2 sampling rounds, each with SS and IA (and ambients as necessary). What is the third event? Also, can you send the data as separate excel worksheets in the same workbook so it's all in one place?

Thanks
Becky Ofrane
U.S. EPA Region 2 | Superfund Risk Assessment
(212) 637 4302
ofrane.rebecca@epa.gov

▼ Jeff Catanzarita---04/17/2012 10:37:14 AM---People we have a lot of data to interpret for the report..... There is no point going on and on abo

From: Jeff Catanzarita/ERT/R2/USEPA/US
To: Rebecca Ofrane/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Denise Zeno/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Arlene Anderson/R2/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: michael.w.cartwright@lmco.com

People we have a lot of data to interpret for the report..... There is no point going on and on about data that does not exceed criteria.....

In an effort to make the report simple to understand would this statement be correct:

EPA's Indoor Air(IA) sampling results for both sampling events showed that **no EPA human health IA risk based numbers were exceeded.** Then the reader can be referred to the Analytical Reports for the complete data sets.

If this statement is not true what sample locations make it untrue? Note: I'm not talking about Sub-Slabs (SS) here.

Attached is a scribe file with all the data to the **three** events. As you know you can use it to sort data many ways. ERT can provide Scribe tech support should you need it.

▼ 1 attachment

[attachment "CABO ROJO 041712.bac" deleted by Rebecca Ofrane/R2/USEPA/US]

Thank you,

Jeff M. Catanzarita
Environmental Engineer

Tel: 732.906.6929
Cell: 609-865-0002
FAX: 732.321.6724
catanzarita.jeff@epa.gov
<http://www.ert.org>

United States Environmental Protection Agency
US EPA- Environmental Response Team
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, BLDG. 18, MS101
Edison, New Jersey 08837-3679

=====

"Mass culture is psychoanalysis in reverse."

Leo Lowenthal